```
1
             Roger Schlafly, Pro Se
PO Box 1680
 2
             telephone: (408) 476 3550 LERK COURT U.S. DISTRICT CALS.J.
 3
 4
                                                             RICHARD
                                                           CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF SALIFORNIA
 5
                                                                  SAN JOSE
 6
 7
 8
    In the United States District Court
    for the Northern District of California
 9
    ROGER SCHLAFLY, Plaintiff
10
                                                Case C-94-20512 SW PVT
11
      v.
                                                Schlafly Declaration 4
12
    PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS, and
13
    RSA DATA SECURITY INC., Defendants.
                                             ) Feb. 29, 1996
14
15
16
    Declaration on patent issues
17
18
    I, Roger Schlafly, declare:
    1. I am the Plaintiff in this case.
19
20
    2. I have personal knowledge of each and every fact set forth below
21
    and can competently testify thereto.
    3. I was a graduate student at the University of California at
22
23
    Berkeley in the late 1970s, and was familiar with academic
24
    practices.
    4. I have knowledge and expertise in the area of cryptography.
25
    5. I commonly asked professors for preprints or reprints of articles
26
27
    they had written. They were always happy to comply. Preprints were
```

28

1	passed around promptly and freely, and without confidentiality
2	restrictions.
3	
4	6. The Diffie-Hellman invention is clever, and subtle, but also
5	striking in its simplicity. A few sentences would suffice to enable
6	a cryptographer in 1976 to practice the invention.
7	
8	7. The general knapsack problem was (and still is) thought to be
9	computationally infeasible. However, the trapdoor knapsacks devised
10	by Hellman-Merkle are special versions of the general knapsack, and
11	have been shown to be feasible to break, and hence insecure.
12	
13	8. For a cryptosystem to be useful, it has to be secure the great
14	majority of the time.
15	
16	9. A cryptosystem which can be cracked a significant percentage of
17	the time is not useful.
18	
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United
20	States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on Feb. 22,
21	1996 in Soquel, California.
22	
23	Dated:
24	Dated: Feb 22, 1996 By: MrCar
25	By:
26	
27	Roger Schlafly
28	

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 Schlafly v. Public Key Partners and RSA Data Security Inc. 3 Case No. C-94-20512-SW, (PVT). Filed on July 27, 1994, San Jose, Calif. 4 The undersigned hereby certifies that he caused a copy of: 5 Brief Regarding Stanford Patent Validity Schlafly Declaration 4 6 Notice of Pendency of Other Action 7 to be served this date by First Class Mail upon the 8 persons at the place and address stated below which is 9 the last known address: 10 Thomas R. Hogan 60 S Market St Ste 1125 11 San Jose, CA 95113 12 Thomas E. Moore 13 Tomlinson et al 200 Page Mill Rd 14 Palo Alto, CA 94306 15 Jana G. Gold Morrison et al 16 755 Page Mill Rd Palo Alto, CA 94304 17 Robert D. Fram 18 Heller et al 525 University Ave 19 Palo Alto, CA 94301 20 and to be emailed to Patrick Flinn at pflinn@alston.com. 21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State 22 of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 23 Executed in Soquel, Calif. at the date below. 24 el 22, 1996 25 26 27 Plaintiff, Roger Schlafly, Pro Se 28